

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 JOCELYN ALEXIS PEREZ,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE  
7 INSURANCE COMPANY,

8 Defendant

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00856-APG-NJK

**Order Remanding Case for Lack of  
Subject Matter Jurisdiction**

9 On May 10, 2024, I ordered defendant State Farm Automobile Insurance Company to  
10 show cause why this action should not be remanded to state court for lack of subject matter  
11 jurisdiction. ECF No. 4. Specifically, State Farm had not demonstrated that the amount in  
12 controversy exceeded \$75,000 to support diversity jurisdiction. *Id.* I advised State Farm that  
13 failure to respond by June 7, 2024 would result in remand to the state court. *Id.* at 3. State Farm  
14 did not specifically respond to the order to show cause. To the extent State Farm meant its  
15 statement regarding removal to be a response, that filing repeats the assertions in the notice of  
16 removal, which I have already found insufficient. *See* ECF No. 8. Consequently, State Farm has  
17 not met its burden of showing I have jurisdiction in this matter.

18 I THEREFORE ORDER that this case is remanded to the state court from which it was  
19 removed for all further proceedings. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 12th day of June, 2024.

21   
22

23 ANDREW P. GORDON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE